

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/651,431	08/30/2000		Wolfgang Streubel	BO-107	2577
7	7590	02/19/2002			
Friedrich Kueffner				EXAMINER	
342 Madison Avenue Suite 1921				WILKINS III, HARRY D	
New York, NY	New York, NY 10173			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1742	11
				DATE MAILED: 02/19/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Office Action Summany	09/651,431	STREUBEL ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication of	Harry D Wilkins, III	1742				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	opears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION is common of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuely received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a replication.  In ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (in the statutory minimum of thirty (in the statutory minimum of thirty (in the statutory minimum of the statutory of the statut	ly be timely filed  30) days will be considered timely.  IS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14	December 2001 .					
2a)⊠		his action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application	on.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.					
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) $oximes$ The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>21 June 2001</u> is: a) $oximes$ approved b) $oximes$ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)[	☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documer						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documer						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment	(s)						
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Info	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ormal Patent Application (PTO-152) .				
J.S. Patent and Tr	ademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 09/651,431

Art Unit: 1742

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-13 are pending.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Toepker et al (EP 0 752332 A1) in view of Metals Handbook Volume 1 and ASM Handbook Volume 5.

These claims are rejected for the same reasons as stated in paragraph 8 of paper no. 9.

4. Claims 9-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Toepker et al (EP 0 752332 A1) in view of the ASM Handbook Volumes 4 and 5.

These claims are rejected for the same reasons as stated in paragraph 9 of paper no. 9.

### Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 14 December 2001 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant has argued that the present invention is directed to a very specific structural component and that it is not sufficient to merely refer to the Metals Handbook Vol 1, to show that hot-rolled medium-carbon steels are generally subjected to a

Application/Control Number: 09/651,431

Art Unit: 1742

standard heat treatment, without in any way correlating the steps of the reference with the manufacture of the specific component. However, the Examiner has provided motivation to combine the references and shown that one of ordinary skill in the art would have been led to the present invention.

Also, Applicant has argued that the present invention provides method steps including specific temperature ranges and time periods for treating the component. However, changes in temperature, concentrations, or other process conditions of an old process does not impart patentability unless the recited ranges are critical, i.e., they produce a new and unexpected result. In re Aller et al (CCPA 1955) 220 F2d 454, 105 USPQ 233. Applicant has not shown the claimed ranges of temperature and time to be critical.

Applicant has also argued that the references to ASM Handbook Vols. 4 and 5 do not in anyway disclose or suggest the specific steps carried out in accordance with claim 9 of the present invention. However, the Examiner points out that the references specifically teach a method of case hardening followed by shot peening that produce surface hardening and improved bending fatigue performance. The steps of cold-forming and "further configuration processing steps" are well within the skill of a routineer in the art.

#### Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/651,431

Art Unit: 1742

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

Page 4

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Harry D Wilkins, III whose telephone number is 703-

305-9927. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:00am-4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Roy V King can be reached on 703-308-1146. The fax phone numbers for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for

regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

0661.

Harry D Wilkins, III

Examiner

Art Unit 1742

hdw

February 15, 2002

ROY KING PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700